UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,023	11/03/2003	Kamakshi Sridhar	139180	3411
24587	7590 12/28/2007	EXAMINER		
ALCATEL LUCENT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			WONG, XAVIER S	
3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2 PLANO, TX 75075		L2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TLANO, TA 7.	5075		2616	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/700,023	SRIDHAR ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Xavier Szewai Wong	2616		

	Xavier Szewai Wong	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 th December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply original.	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS F 36(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office.	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL			,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since				
AMENDMEN IS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>please see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CF 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(1 102-02-1).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:			,				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
·							
	•						

Art Unit: 2616

REMARKS

The amended limitations presenting new scope in claims 1, 8, 17 and 23: "... wherein the maximum per-hop queuing delay for a particular one of said high-priority packets is a respective maximum per-hop queuing delay calculated dependent upon a number of hops in a label switched path ("LSP") between the switch and a designated destination of the particular one of said high-priority packets and wherein said the respective maximum per-hop queuing delay is calculated only during set-up of the LSP and dependent upon a specified maximum end-to-end delay;...";

and in claim 9: "... wherein the maximum per-hop queuing delay for a particular one of said high-priority packets is a respective maximum per-hop queuing delay calculated dependent upon a number of hops in a label switched path ("LSP") between the switch and a designated destination of the particular one of said high-priority packets...";

would require the examiner to conduct an updated search and necessitate further consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Xavier Szewai Wong

X.S.W / x.s.w

24th December 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000